

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/790,110	03/02/2004	Takeshi Ueno	249432US2SRD DIV	2904		
22850	7590 08/13/2004		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPI	IVAK, MCCLELLANI Treet	CHOE, HENRY				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	·		2817			
				DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>,</u>	1m			
	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,	110	UENO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examine	er er	Art Unit	-			
	Henry K	Choe	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or If the period for reply specified above is less than third. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for really reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the sta n statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the ap hs after the mailing date of this of	event, however, may a reply be to atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror oplication to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>16 July 2004</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in conditi	on for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the r	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the pra	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 23-37 is/are pending in t	he application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 27-37 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	•					
) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	•			₹ 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a cla a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of		nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have be	en received.					
2. Certified copies of the prior	·		tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copie	es of the priority docum	nents have been receiv	ed in this National S	tage			
application from the Interna	ational Bureau (PCT Ru	ule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office ac	ction for a list of the cer	tified copies not receiv	red.				
Attachment(s)		4) Dinton dans Ourse	o. (DTO 412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 	v (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/2/2004.			Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 23-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 123456. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current (child case) claim limitations are merely broader recitations of the parent case recitations.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2817

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1760.

HENRY CHOE PRIMARY EXAMINER

#888